EXHIBIT 4

PAGES 1 - 36

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BEFORE THE HONORABLE JACQUELINE SCOTT CORLEY, MAGISTRATE JUDGE

IN RE: FACEBOOK CONSUMER) NO. 18-MD-02843 VC (JSC)
PRIVACY USER PROFILE)
LITIGATION,) FRIDAY, APRIL 17, 2020
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

DISCOVERY STATUS CONFERENCE

TRANSCRIPT OF ZOOM PROCEEDINGS OF THE OFFICIAL ELECTRONIC SOUND RECORDING 9:58 A.M. - 10:40 A.M.

APPEARANCES:

FOR PLAINTIFFS: KELLER ROHRBACK LLP

1201 THIRD AVENUE, SUITE 3200

SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101

BY: DEREK W. LOESER, ESQUIRE

CARI LAUFENBERG, ESQUIRE

DAVID KO, ESQUIRE

BLEICHMAR, FONTI & AULD LLP
555 12TH STREET, SUITE 1600
OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA 94607
BY: LESLEY E. WEAVER, ESQUIRE
MATTHEW MONTGOMERY, ESQUIRE
ANNE K. DAVIS, ESQUIRE

(APPEARANCES CONTINUED)

TRANSCRIBED BY: DIANE E. SKILLMAN, TRANSCRIBER

925-899-2812

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: OKAY. SO THAT WAS MS. KUTSCHER WHO WAS SPEAKING.

AND TO CONFIRM WHAT MR. LOESER SAID, NOTHING WAS WITHHELD ON RELEVANCE GROUNDS; IS THAT CORRECT?

MS. KUTSCHER: WE DID NOT WITHHOLD MATERIALS ON RELEVANCE GROUNDS, NO.

MR. SNYDER: AND, YOUR HONOR, JUST TO BE OF HELP TO THE COURT, WE ALLUDED TO THIS IN OUR STATEMENTS, AND I REALLY WELCOME THE NOT REHASHING THE PAST AND MOVING FORWARD SENTIMENT, BECAUSE IN THIS CASE, AND I KNOW A LOT OF DEFENDANTS SAY THIS, AND IF I WAS IN COURT I WOULD LOOK YOU IN YOUR EYES AND SAY THIS, I WILL DO IT OVER ZOOM, WHICH IS THAT WE, FACEBOOK, RECEIVED A VERY FAVORABLE MOTION TO DISMISS DECISION FROM JUDGE CHHABRIA WHO IDENTIFIED FOUR SORT OF LIVE ISSUES IN THE CASE.

AND WE, FACEBOOK, HAVE BEEN TRYING TO EXPEDITE DISCOVERY BECAUSE WE ARE EAGER TO GET TO THE SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND CLASS CERTIFICATION PHASE. SO WE ARE NOT -- HAVE ANY INTEREST, LIKE SOME DEFENDANTS MIGHT IN OTHER CASES, DRAGGING OUR FEET AND WE REALLY WELCOME, YOU KNOW, EVERY TWO WEEKS SITTING DOWN AND MOVING THIS FORWARD.

THE REASON WE PRODUCED ALL THOSE FTC DOCUMENTS IS BECAUSE PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL AT THE OUTSET SAID WHY DON'T WE JUST PRODUCE ALL THE FTC DOCUMENTS; WON'T THAT BE MOST OF WHAT IS RELEVANT IN THE CASE.

GUYS COME UP WITH WHAT THE TIME IS. AND IT MAY BE FOR A LONG 1 2 TIME. AND LET'S DO THAT SO THAT NO ONE NEEDS TO FEEL LIKE 3 THEY ARE CHASING THE OTHER DOWN OR THEY ARE GETTING BOMBARDED OR ANYTHING ELSE. 4 5 SO WE ARE GOING TO FOCUS ON THAT ESI PROTOCOL. WE'RE GOING TO FOCUS ON THE CUSTODIANS. AND LET'S START TALKING 6 7 ABOUT -- AND DEFENDANTS, YOU KNOW, INFORMALLY, BUT SAYING THIS 8 IS WHERE WE UNDERSTAND THESE RESIDE. THIS IS WHERE WE 9 UNDERSTAND THE PLAINTIFFS -- THE NAMED PLAINTIFFS, RIGHT, 10 THEIR FILES OR WHATEVER. I KNOW THAT'S A QUESTION THE 11 PLAINTIFFS HAD. JUST START TALKING ABOUT WHAT YOU KNOW AND THAT -- YOU KNOW, WHERE YOU CAN GET THAT, AND FIGURE THAT OUT. 12 13 AND THEN... AND THEN ALSO THE ESI PROTOCOL. 14 I THINK MAYBE... WELL, THEN THERE'S THESE OTHER THINGS. 15 YOU CAN TELL ME, DEFENDANTS, FOR EXAMPLE, THE PRICEWATERHOUSE, 16 THE UNDERLYING DOCUMENTS UNDERLYING THE REPORT, IS THAT 17 SOMETHING WE CAN PUT INTO THESE DISCUSSIONS AS WELL? MR. SNYDER: I MEAN, WE --18 19 (SIMULTANEOUS COLLOQUY.) 20 MR. SNYDER: GO AHEAD, MARTIE. 21 MS. STEIN: THIS IS DEBORAH STEIN. THANK YOU, YOUR 22 HONOR. 23 SO THERE ARE, I THINK, A NUMBER OF CATEGORIES WHERE THAT 24 MAY SOUND EASY, BUT ACTUALLY HAVE A LOT OF CHALLENGES 25 ASSOCIATED WITH THEM.

MS. WEAVER: YOUR HONOR? THIS IS LESLEY WEAVER. ONE QUESTION.

FOR THE SUBMISSION, IT MIGHT HELP THE PARTIES IF WE HAD A LITTLE MORE GUIDANCE AND, FRANKLY, WE DON'T CARE WHAT IT IS, BUT --

THE COURT: YES.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. WEAVER: -- WE SHOULD EXCHANGE ON A CERTAIN DATE. DO YOU WANT ATTACHMENTS? AND WHAT SHOULD THE LENGTH OF THE DOCUMENT BE? I THINK THAT WOULD MAKE US ALL HAPPY.

THE COURT: SURE.

SO WHAT I WOULD LIKE IT TO BE IS, WE IDENTIFIED FOUR AREAS, RIGHT? THE ESI PROTOCOL -- HOPEFULLY WHAT THAT -- AND THAT SHOULD BE ONE PARAGRAPH AT THE MOST, WHICH IS, WE SUBMITTED OUR STIPULATION BY APRIL 24TH OR WE SUBMITTED OUR STIPULATION WITH -- AND THEN ON APRIL WITH -- ON APRIL 27TH A FEW, VERY FEW AREAS OF DISAGREEMENT.

THE SECOND WILL BE, WE'VE RESOLVED ALL THE CUSTODIANS AND THESE ARE CUSTODIANS, OR THIS IS WHERE WE'RE AT. RIGHT? AND EACH SIDE, MAYBE IT'S JUST ONE PARAGRAPH, TWO AT THE MOST.

THREE WILL BE, THESE ARE THE DISCUSSIONS WE'VE HAD ON WHERE THE ESI RESIDES, HOW IT IS STORED, WHAT IT IS WITH THAT. THIS IS OUR PLAN GOING FORWARD. MAYBE WE ARE GOING TO HAVE OUR EXPERT TALK TO SOMEONE IN-HOUSE AT FACEBOOK OR WHATEVER. IT CAN BE DONE INFORMALLY IN A WAY THAT GETS YOU THAT INFORMATION BEFORE RESORTING TO THAT 30(B)(6).